

UNIQUENESS OF THE KONTSEVICH-VISHIK TRACE

L. MANICCIA, E. SCHROHE, AND J. SEILER

ABSTRACT. Let M be a closed manifold. We show that the Kontsevich-Vishik trace, which is defined on the set of all classical pseudodifferential operators on M , whose (complex) order is not an integer greater than or equal to $-\dim M$, is the unique functional which (i) is linear on its domain, (ii) has the trace property and (iii) coincides with the L^2 -operator trace on trace class operators.

Also the extension to even-even pseudodifferential operators of arbitrary integer order on odd-dimensional manifolds and to even-odd pseudodifferential operators of arbitrary integer order on even-dimensional manifolds is unique.

MSC 2000: 58J40, 58J42, 35S05

Key Words: Kontsevich-Vishik canonical trace, pseudodifferential operators

1. INTRODUCTION

We denote by M a compact n -dimensional manifold without boundary. A classical pseudodifferential operator (ψ do) A on M is said to have order $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, if it belongs to the Hörmander class $S_{1,0}^{\operatorname{Re} \mu}(M)$ and the local symbols $a = a(x, \xi)$ of A have asymptotic expansions

$$(1.1) \quad a \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{\mu-j},$$

where the $a_{\mu-j}$ are positively homogeneous of degree $\mu - j$ for large ξ . In general, all pseudodifferential operators will be assumed to act on sections of vector bundles over M . We shall write $\operatorname{ord} A = \mu$ to express that the order of A is μ .

In two remarkable papers, Kontsevich and Vishik in 1994 and 1995 analyzed the properties of determinants of elliptic ψ do's, [5], [6]. One important tool was the construction of a trace-like mapping TR defined on the set of all classical ψ do's whose order is not an element of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq -n}$, the set of integers greater than or equal to $-n$.

We shall denote this domain by $\mathcal{D}$. As the sum of two operator of orders μ and μ' in $\mathcal{D}$ is an element of $\mathcal{D}$ only if $\mu - \mu'$ is an integer, $\mathcal{D}$ is not a vector space. Thus it does not make sense to speak about linear functionals on $\mathcal{D}$. The map $\operatorname{TR} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, however, is as linear as it can be expected to be:

$$(1.2) \quad \operatorname{TR}(cA + dB) = c \operatorname{TR}(A) + d \operatorname{TR}(B) \quad \text{for } c, d \in \mathbb{C}, A, B, cA + dB \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Moreover, TR behaves like a trace:

$$(1.3) \quad \operatorname{TR}(AB) = \operatorname{TR}(BA), \quad \text{whenever } AB, BA \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Finally, the Kontsevich-Vishik trace (sometimes also: canonical trace) TR coincides with the L^2 -operator trace on trace class ψ do's:

$$(1.4) \quad \operatorname{TR}(A) = \operatorname{tr}(A) \quad \text{if } \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{ord}(A) < -n.$$

It is clear that the Kontsevich-Vishik trace cannot be extended to a trace on the algebra of all ψ do's on M : The only trace there (up to multiples) is the Wodzicki residue [11], which is known to vanish on trace class operators. There also is a simple direct way to see this: We know – e.g. from the Atiyah-Singer index theorem – that there exists an elliptic pseudodifferential operator P on M with nonzero index. Using order reducing operators, we may assume the order of P to be zero. Let Q be a parametrix to P modulo smoothing operators. Then

$$\text{Index } P = \text{tr}(1 - PQ) - \text{tr}(1 - QP).$$

If we could extend TR to a trace on all pseudodifferential operators, the right hand side could be rewritten as the trace of the commutator $\text{TR}[P, Q]$ and therefore would have to be zero – a contradiction.

It has been observed, however, by Kontsevich-Vishik and Grubb [3] that TR extends to a slightly larger domain. Recall that the symbol a of an integer order operator A is said to be even-even, if the homogeneous components satisfy

$$(1.5) \quad a_{\mu-j}(x, -\xi) = (-1)^{\mu-j} a_{\mu-j}(x, \xi).$$

It is called even-odd, if

$$(1.6) \quad a_{\mu-j}(x, -\xi) = (-1)^{\mu-j+1} a_{\mu-j}(x, \xi).$$

The Kontsevich-Vishik trace $\text{TR}(A)$ for a ψ do A of order μ then can also be defined if $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -n}$, provided that

(EE) n is odd, and the symbol of A is even-even, or

(EO) n is even, and the symbol of A is even-odd.

For the sake of brevity we shall denote this larger domain (depending on n) by $\mathcal{D}^+$.

In both cases, the component a_{-n} in the asymptotic expansion of the symbol of A is odd in ξ for large $|\xi|$, say for $|\xi| \geq 1$:

$$a_{-n}(x, -\xi) = -a_{-n}(x, \xi).$$

Hence the density for the Wodzicki residue of the operator A vanishes pointwise, i.e.

$$\text{res}_x(A) = \int_{S_x^* M} a_{-n}(x, \xi) d\sigma(\xi) = 0 \quad \text{for each } x \in M.$$

Here, $d\sigma$ is the surface measure on the unit sphere $S_x^* M$ over x in the cotangent bundle. The Wodzicki residue of A is given by integration of $\text{res}_x A$ over M and therefore also vanishes.

The trace property (1.3) extends to the case where A and B have integer order and AB and BA belong to $\mathcal{D}^+$.

The Kontsevich-Vishik trace has received considerable attention and found interesting applications, see e.g. [4, 7, 8, 9, 10]. Moreover, it has been extended to boundary value problems in Boutet de Monvel's calculus [2].

It seems, however, that it never has been noticed that the above properties make the Kontsevich-Vishik trace unique. This is what we show in this short note. The proof, which will be given in the next section, relies on ideas in [1].

Theorem.

- (a) Let $\tau : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a map with properties (1.2), (1.3), and (1.4). Then $\tau = \text{TR}$.
- (b) Also the extension of τ to $\mathcal{D}^+$ is unique. In fact, τ already is unique on the space of all integer order ψ do's which satisfy (EE) or (EO) when $\mu \geq -n$.

2. PROOF

In order to establish (a), choose a ψ do A of order $\mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -n}$ on M .

We find a covering of M by open neighborhoods and a finite subordinate partition of unity $\{\varphi_j\}$ such that for every pair (j, k) , both φ_j and φ_k have support in one coordinate neighborhood. We write

$$A = \sum_{j,k} \varphi_j A \varphi_k.$$

Each operator $\varphi_j A \varphi_k$ may be considered a ψ do on $\mathbb{R}^n$. As the map τ has the linearity property (1.2), we may confine ourselves to the case where $A = \text{op } a$ with a symbol a on $\mathbb{R}^n$ having an expansion (1.1). Moreover, we can assume that $A = \varphi A \psi$ whenever $\varphi, \psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are equal to one on a sufficiently large set.

To simplify further, we write

$$(2.7) \quad A = \text{op } a_\mu + \text{op } a_{\mu-1} + \dots + \text{op } a_{\mu-K} + \text{op } r,$$

where $a_{\mu-j}$ is a symbol on $\mathbb{R}^n$, homogeneous in ξ of degree $\mu - j$ for $|\xi| \geq 1$, and K is so large that $r \in S_{1,0}^{-n-1}$. For $\varphi, \psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as above we then have

$$\tau(\text{op } a) = \tau(\varphi \text{op}(a)\psi) = \sum_{j=0}^K \tau(\varphi \text{op}(a_{\mu-j})\psi) + \tau(\varphi \text{op}(r)\psi).$$

Since $\tau(\varphi \text{op}(r)\psi) = \text{tr}(\varphi \text{op}(r)\psi)$ by (1.4), we will know $\tau(\text{op } a)$ as soon as we know $\tau(\varphi \text{op}(a_{\mu-j})\psi)$ for $j = 0, \dots, K$.

We may assume that μ is not an integer, since the operator trace determines τ on all operators of order $\mu < -n$. Now we let

$$(2.8) \quad b_{\mu-j}(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{n + \mu - j} \sum_{k=1}^n \partial_{\xi_k} (\xi_k a_{\mu-j}(x, \xi)).$$

Euler's relation for homogenous functions implies that, for $|\xi| \geq 1$,

$$b_{\mu-j} = \frac{1}{n + \mu - j} (n a_{\mu-j} + (\mu - j) a_{\mu-j}) = a_{\mu-j}.$$

Hence we can write

$$(2.9) \quad \tau(\varphi \text{op}(a_{\mu-j})\psi) = \tau(\varphi \text{op}(a_{\mu-j} - b_{\mu-j})\psi) + \tau(\varphi \text{op}(b_{\mu-j})\psi).$$

Since $a_{\mu-j} - b_{\mu-j}$ is regularizing, the first term on the right hand side is determined by Property (1.4). Now we choose additionally $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\chi\varphi = \varphi$ and $\chi\psi = \psi$. The fact that $\text{op}(\partial_{\xi_k} p) = -i [x_k, \text{op } p]$ for an arbitrary symbol p implies that

$$\varphi \text{op}(b_{\mu-j})\psi = -i \sum_{k=1}^n [\chi x_k, \varphi \text{op}(\xi_k a_{\mu-j})\psi].$$

Assuming that τ has Property (1.3), it vanishes on the last term in (2.9) which is a sum of commutators. Hence the proof of (a) is complete.

Next let us show (b). With the same considerations as before we may assume that $A = \text{op } a$ is a pseudodifferential operator on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with a representation as in (2.7), where now μ is an integer $\geq -n$ and the $a_{\mu-j}$ have property (EE) or (EO). We only have to show that $\tau(\varphi \text{op}(a_{\mu-j})\psi)$ is uniquely determined, $j = 0, \dots, \mu + n$. For $\mu - j \neq -n$ the argument is as before, using the symbols in (2.8) and noting that $a_{\mu-j}(x, \xi)\xi_k$ is even-even or even-odd whenever this is the case for $a_{\mu-j}$.

So let us consider a_{-n} . The assumption that n is odd and a_{-n} even-even or n is even and a_{-n} even-odd implies that a_{-n} is odd in ξ :

$$a_{-n}(x, -\xi) = -a_{-n}(x, \xi) \quad \text{for } |\xi| \geq 1.$$

Hence, for each fixed x , the integral over the unit sphere $S = \{|\xi| = 1\}$ vanishes:

$$(2.10) \quad \int_S a_{-n}(x, \xi) d\sigma(\xi) = 0.$$

The Laplace operator $\Delta = \sum_{k=1}^n \partial^2 / \partial \xi_k^2$ in polar coordinates takes the form

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \Delta_S,$$

where $r = |\xi|$ is the radial variable and Δ_S is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on S .

Equation (2.10) implies that, for each x , the function $a_{-n}(x, \cdot)$ is orthogonal to the constants which form the kernel of the symmetric operator Δ_S . Hence there is a unique function $q(x, \cdot) \in C^\infty(S)$, orthogonal to the constants, such that $\Delta_S q(x, \cdot) = a_{-n}(x, \cdot)|_S$. As Δ_S commutes with the antipodal map $\eta \mapsto -\eta$, we have $\Delta_S(q(x, -\cdot)) = a_{-n}(x, -\cdot)|_S = -a_{-n}(x, \cdot)|_S$. Hence $q(x, \cdot) + q(x, -\cdot)$ belongs to the kernel of Δ_S , thus is constant. On the other hand, both $q(x, \cdot)$ and $q(x, -\cdot)$ are orthogonal to the constants. Therefore $q(x, \cdot) + q(x, -\cdot)$ is zero, i.e., $q(x, \cdot)$ is an odd function on S .

Now we choose a smooth function ω on $\mathbb{R}$ which vanishes for small r and is equal to 1 for $r \geq 1/2$. We let

$$b_{-n} = \omega(r) r^{2-n} q = \omega(|\xi|) |\xi|^{2-n} q(x, \xi/|\xi|).$$

This is a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ which is homogeneous of degree $2 - n$ in ξ for $|\xi| \geq 1$. As $a_{-n}(x, \xi)$ vanishes for x outside a compact set, so does $b_{-n}(x, \xi)$. In particular, b_{-n} is an element of $S_{1,0}^{2-n}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, we have for $|\xi| \geq 1$

$$\Delta b_{-n} = \Delta(r^{2-n} q(x, \cdot)) = r^{-n} a_{-n}(x, \cdot)|_S = a_{-n}.$$

We write $a_{-n} = (a_{-n} - \Delta b_{-n}) + \Delta b_{-n}$. The symbol $a_{-n} - \Delta b_{-n}$ is regularizing and thus $\tau(\varphi \operatorname{op}(a_{-n} - \Delta b_{-n})\psi)$ is determined by (1.4). The operator associated with $\operatorname{op}(\varphi(\Delta b_{-n})\psi)$ on the other hand is a sum of commutators:

$$(2.11) \quad \varphi \operatorname{op}(\Delta b_{-n})\psi = -i \sum_{k=1}^n [\chi x_k, \varphi \operatorname{op}(\partial_{\xi_k} b_{-n})\psi],$$

where χ is chosen as in the proof of (a). Hence τ vanishes on $\varphi \operatorname{op}(\Delta b_{-n})\psi$. This concludes the argument.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Fedosov, F. Golse, E. Leichtnam, and E. Schrohe. The noncommutative residue for manifolds with boundary. *J. Funct. Anal.* **142**, 1–31 (1996).
- [2] G. Grubb and E. Schrohe. Traces and Quasi-traces on the Boutet de Monvel Algebra. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **54**, 1641–1696 (2004).
- [3] G. Grubb. A resolvent approach to traces and zeta Laurent expansions. *AMS Contemp. Math.* **366**, 67–93 (2005).
- [4] G. Grubb. The local and global parts of the basic zeta coefficient for pseudodifferential boundary operators. Preprint arXiv math.AP/0611854.
- [5] M. Kontsevich, S. Vishik. Determinants of elliptic pseudo-differential operators. Preprint, Max-Planck-Institut für Math., Bonn, 1994.

- [6] M. Kontsevich, S. Vishik. Geometry of determinants of elliptic operators. In *Functional Analysis on the Eve of the 21st Century*, Progress in Math. **131**, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1995.
- [7] M. Lesch. On the noncommutative residue for pseudodifferential operators with log-polyhomogeneous symbols. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **17**, 151-187 (1999).
- [8] L. Maniccia, E. Schrohe, J. Seiler. Determinants of SG-pseudodifferential operators. In preparation.
- [9] K. Okikiolu. Critical metrics for the determinant of the Laplacian in odd dimensions. *Ann. Math.* **153**, 471-531 (2001).
- [10] S. Paycha and S. Scott. An explicit Laurent expansion for regularized integrals of holomorphic symbols. To appear in *Geom. and Funct. Anal.*, arXiv math.AP/0506211.
- [11] M. Wodzicki. Local invariants of spectral asymmetry. *Invent. Math.* **75**, 143-187 (1984).

UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, PIAZZA DI PORTA S. DONATO 5,
40127 BOLOGNA, ITALY

E-mail address: `maniccia@dm.unibo.it`

LEIBNIZ UNIVERSITÄT HANNOVER, INSTITUT FÜR ANALYSIS, WELFENGARTEN 1, 30167 HANNOVER, GERMANY

E-mail address: `schrohe@math.uni-hannover.de`

LEIBNIZ UNIVERSITÄT HANNOVER, INSTITUT FÜR ANGEWANDTE MATHEMATIK, WELFENGARTEN 1,
30167 HANNOVER, GERMANY

E-mail address: `seiler@ifam.uni-hannover.de`